arXiv:1402.3990v4 [math.PR] 3 Jul 2015

From optimal transportation to optimal teleportation

G. Wolansky[1]
Department of Mathematics, Technion, Haifa 32000, israel

July 6, 2015

Abstract

The object of this paper is to study estimates of $\epsilon^{-q} W_p(\mu+\epsilon\nu, \mu)$ for small $\epsilon > 0$. Here W_p is the Wasserstein metric on positive measures, $p > 1$, μ is a probability measure and ν a signed, neutral measure ($\int d\nu = 0$). In [W1] we proved uniform (in ϵ) estimates for $q = 1$ provided $\int \phi d\nu$ can be controlled in terms of the $\int |\nabla\phi|^{p/(p-1)} d\mu$, for any smooth function ϕ.

In this paper we extend the results to the case where such a control fails. This is the case where if, e.g. μ has a disconnected support, or if the dimension of μ , d (to be defined) is larger or equal $p/(p-1)$.

In the later case we get such an estimate provided $1/p + 1/d \neq 1$ for $q = \min(1, 1/p + 1/d)$. If $1/p + 1/d = 1$ we get a log-Lipschitz estimate.

As an application we obtain Hölder estimates in W_p for curves of probability measures which are absolutely continuous in the total variation norm .

In case the support of μ is disconnected (corresponding to $d = \infty$) we obtain sharp estimates for $q = 1/p$ ("optimal teleportation"):

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \epsilon^{-1/p} W_p(\mu, \mu + \epsilon\nu) = \|\nu\|_\mu$$

where $\|\nu\|_\mu$ is expressed in terms of optimal transport on a metric graph, determined only by the relative distances between the connected components of the support of μ, and the weights of the measure ν in each connected component of this support.

[1]gershonw@math.technion.ac.il, Tel +972525236410

1 Introduction

1.1 Notations

- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ is a compact set, equal to the closure of its interior.
- $\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ is the set of Borel measures on Ω. $\mathcal{P}_+$ is the set of non-negative measures in $\mathcal{P}$. $\mathcal{P}_1$ is the set of probability (normalized) measures in $\mathcal{P}_+$.
- The duality between $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ and $C(\Omega)$ (continuous functions) is denoted by $\langle \mu, \phi \rangle$, where $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\phi \in C(\Omega)$. This duality implies an order relation on $\mathcal{P}$: $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2$ iff $\langle \mu_1, \phi \rangle \geq \langle \mu_2, \phi \rangle$ for any non-negative $\phi \in C(\Omega)$.
- For $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_+$, $\mathrm{supp}(\mu)$ is the minimal closed set $A \subset \Omega$ such that $\mu(A) = \mu(\Omega)$.
- $\nu_\pm \in \mathcal{P}_+$ is the factorization of $\nu \in \mathcal{P}$ into positive and negative parts, namely $\nu = \nu_+ - \nu_-$ such that $\|\nu\|_{TV} := \langle \nu_+, 1 \rangle + \langle \nu_-, 1 \rangle$ is the total variation norm of ν.
- A pair $\nu_\pm \in \mathcal{P}_+$ is *balanced* if $\langle \nu_+, 1 \rangle = \langle \nu_-, 1 \rangle$. $\nu \in \mathcal{P}$ is *neutral* if its factorization $\nu_\pm$ is a balanced pair.

1.2 Motivation

Recall the definition of the $p-$Wasserstein metric ($p > 1$) on $\mathcal{P}_1(\Omega)$:

$$W_p(\mu_1, \mu_2) := \left(\inf_{\pi \in \Pi(\mu_1, \mu_2)} \int_\Omega \int_\Omega |x - y|^p \pi(dxdy) \right)^{1/p} \tag{1}$$

where $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}_1$,

$$\Pi(\mu_1, \mu_2) := \{ \pi \in \mathcal{P}_1(\Omega \times \Omega) \; ; \quad \pi_{\#1} = \mu_1; \quad \pi_{\#2} = \mu_2 \}$$

Here $\pi_{\#,1,2}$ represents the first and second marginals of π on Ω, respectively.

The $C^*(\Omega)$ topology restricted to $\mathcal{P}_1$ can be metrized by W_p with $p \geq 1$ ([V1], Theorem 6.9). See also [V], [K], [Ve], [T], [KR], [Va] among many other sources for this and related metrics.

W_p can be trivially extended to any balanced pair of positive measures. This extension is defined naturally by the homogeneity relation

$$W_p(\alpha \mu_1, \alpha \mu_2) = \alpha^{1/p} W_p(\mu_1, \mu_2) \tag{2}$$

for $\alpha \geq 0$.

Note that the total variation of a neutral measure $\nu = \nu^+ - \nu_-$ is given by

$$\|\nu\|_{TV} = \inf_{\pi \in \Pi(\nu_+, \nu_-)} \int_\Omega \int_\Omega d(x,y)\pi(dxdy)$$

where d is the discrete metric ($d(x,y) = 1$ if $x \neq y$, $d(x,x) = 0$), see [V1], Theorem 6.15. Since $|x-y|^p < Diam^p(\Omega)d(x,y)$ for any x, y in the compact set Ω, then

$$W_p(\nu_+, \nu_-) \leq Diam(\Omega)\, \|\nu\|_{TV}^{1/p} \ , \tag{3}$$

hence, by the *principle of monotone additivity* (see Proposition 2.2 below) and (2),

$$\epsilon^{-1/p} W_p(\mu + \epsilon\nu_+, \mu + \epsilon\nu_-) \leq Diam(\Omega)\|\nu\|_{TV}^{1/p} \tag{4}$$

provided $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_+$.

Lemma 5.6 in [W1] (see also Theorem 7.26 in [V]) implies that for any balanced pair of positive measures ν_+, ν_- and any probability measure μ

$$\liminf_{\epsilon \searrow 0} \epsilon^{-1} W_p(\mu + \epsilon\nu_+, \mu + \epsilon\nu_-) \geq \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{B}_p(\mu)} \langle \nu, \phi \rangle \tag{5}$$

where, if $p > 1$,

$$\mathcal{B}_p(\mu) := \left\{ \phi \in C^1(\Omega);\ \int_\Omega |\nabla\phi|^{p/(p-1)} d\mu \leq 1 \right\} \tag{6}$$

while Lemma 5.7 establishes the opposite inequality for lim sup in (5) (in particular, the existence of a limit), if ν is absolutely continuous with respect to μ and both measures are regular enough.

Remark 1.1. *Note that for $p = 1$ an equality*

$$\epsilon^{-1} W_1(\mu + \epsilon\nu_+, \mu + \epsilon\nu_-) = \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{B}_1} \langle \nu, \phi \rangle$$

holds for any $\epsilon > 0$ where $\mathcal{B}_1$ is the set of $1-$ Lipschitz functions on Ω.

In the particular case where the support of $\nu := \nu_+ - \nu_-$ is contained in the support of μ (5) implies that

$$\liminf_{\epsilon \searrow 0} \epsilon^{-1} W_p(\mu + \epsilon\nu_+, \mu + \epsilon\nu_-) \geq D_p(\mu)\|\nu\|_{TV} \tag{7}$$

where

$$D_p(\mu) := \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{B}_p(\mu)} \left(\sup_{x \in \mathrm{supp}(\mu)} \phi(x) - \inf_{x \in \mathrm{supp}(\mu)} \phi(x) \right)$$

is the maximal oscillation of functions in $\mathcal{B}_p(\mu)$ restricted to $\mathrm{supp}(\mu)$ and is, of course, independent of ν.

For example, if μ is a uniform (Lebesgue) measure on a "nice" domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ (e.g. a ball in $\mathbb{R}^d$) then $\mathcal{B}_p(\mu)$ is dense in the unit ball of the Sobolev space $\mathbb{W}^{1,p\prime}(\Omega)/\mathbb{R}$ (with respect to that norm) where $p\prime := p/(p-1)$. Sobolev embedding theorem then implies that $D_p(\mu) < \infty$ if $d < p\prime$ (where $\mathbb{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is embedded in $C(\Omega)$), while $D_p(\mu) = \infty$ if $p\prime \leq d$ (see Remark 1.4).

In the first part of this paper we consider the opposite inequality to (7) where $D_p(\mu) = \infty$. The first result (Theorem 1.1) deals with the existence of another constant C depending only on μ, and an exponent $q \in [1/p, 1]$ for which

$$\sup_{\epsilon>0} \epsilon^{-q} W_p(\mu + \epsilon\nu_+, \mu + \epsilon\nu_-) \leq C\|\nu\|_{TV} \quad . \tag{8}$$

We prove the existence of such C for

$$q = \min(1, 1/d + 1/p) \ \text{ if } \ 1/d + 1/p \neq 1 \tag{9}$$

provided μ is strongly $d-$connected (c.f Definitions 1.1 and 1.2) and $\mathrm{supp}(\nu) \subset \mathrm{supp}(\mu)$. The second case $d = p/(p-1)$ (i.e $1/d + 1/p = 1$) corresponds to the critical Sobolev embedding $\mathbb{W}^{1,p\prime}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (see Remark 1.4) again) and leads to a log Lipschitz estimate

$$\sup_{\epsilon>0} \frac{1}{\epsilon \ln^{1/p}(1/\epsilon + 1)} W_p(\mu + \epsilon\nu_+, \mu + \epsilon\nu_-) \leq C\|\nu\|_{TV} \quad . \tag{10}$$

An application of these inequalities is described below:

Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval and $\vec{\mu} \in \mathcal{P}_+(\Omega \times I)$ such that its t marginal $\mu_{(t)}$ is a probability measure on Ω for any $t \in I$. Then

$$\mathbb{R} \supset I \ni t \mapsto \mu_{(t)} \in \mathcal{P}_1$$

can be viewed as a curve in $\mathcal{P}_1 := \mathcal{P}_1(\Omega)$ parameterized in I. We say that $\vec{\mu} \in AC^r(I, \mathcal{P}_1; TV)$ for some $\infty \geq r \geq 1$ if there exists a non-negative $m \in \mathbb{L}^r(I)$ such that

$$\|\mu_{(t)} - \mu_{(\tau)}\|_{TV} \leq \int_\tau^t m(s)ds$$

for any $t > \tau \in I$.

The *metric derivative* [AG1, AGS] of $\vec{\mu}$ with respect to the TV norm is

$$\vec{\mu}\prime_{(t)}(t) := \lim_{\tau \to t} \frac{\|\mu_{(t)} - \mu_{(\tau)}\|_{TV}}{|t - \tau|} \ .$$

By Theorem 1.1.2 in [AGS], the metric derivative exists t a.s. in I for $\vec{\mu} \in AC^r(I, \mathcal{P}_1; TV)$. Remark 1.1.3 in [AGS] also implies that the distributive derivative $\partial_t \mu_{(t)} := \dot{\mu}_{(t)}$ exists in $\mathcal{P} \times I$ and $\|\dot{\mu}_{(t)}\|_{TV} = \|\vec{\mu}'\|(t)$ a.e. in I.

On the other hand, $\mathcal{P}_1$ can also be considered as a metric space with respect to the Wasserstein metric W_p. Recalling (3), we observe that, if $\vec{\mu} \in AC^r(I, \mathcal{P}_1; TV)$ then

$$W_p(\mu_{(t)}, \mu_{(\tau)}) \leq Diam(\Omega)\|\mu_{(t)} - \mu_{(\tau)}\|_{TV}^{1/p}$$

$$\leq Diam(\Omega)(\int_\tau^t m)^{1/p} \leq Diam(\Omega)\|m\|_r^{1/p}|t-\tau|^{\frac{r-1}{r}\frac{1}{p}} .$$

So, we cannot expect that such a curve $\vec{\mu} \in AC^r(I, \mathcal{P}_1; TV)$ is more than $(r-1)/rp-$Hölder with respect to the Wasserstein metric W_p.

We prove that if the support of $\vec{\mu}$ is monotone non-increasing, namely $\mathrm{supp}(\mu_{(t)}) \subseteq \mathrm{supp}(\mu_{(\tau)})$ for any $t > \tau$, and $\mathrm{supp}(\mu_{(t)})$ is strongly $d-$connected for any $t \in I$ then we can improve this estimate: Under the above conditions, $\vec{\mu}$ is $q(r-1)/r-$ Hölder on I in $(\mathcal{P}_1, W_p)$, ($q-$ Hölder if $r = \infty$) where q given by (9).

Moreover, if $1/p + 1/d > 1$ then $q = 1$ (9) and $\vec{\mu} \in AC^r(I, W_p)$ as well. This implies the existence of a Borel vector field $v \in \mathbb{L}^r\left(I, \mathbb{L}^p(\mu_{(t)})\right)$ for which the continuity equation

$$\partial_t \mu + \nabla_x \cdot (\mu v) = 0 \tag{11}$$

holds in distribution.

To illustrate the above results, consider

$$\mu_{(t)} = m(t)\delta_{x_0} + (1 - m(t))\delta_{x_1} \tag{12}$$

where $x_0 \neq x_1$ and $t \mapsto m(t) \in (0,1)$ is a non-constant smooth function. Then $\dot{\mu}_{(t)} = \dot{m}(t)(\delta_{x_0} - \delta_{x_1}) \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\|\dot{\mu}_{(t)}\|_{TV} = 2|\dot{m}(t)|$.

If we consider the above curve in $(W_p, \mathcal{P}_1)$ where $p > 1$ then the metric derivative does not exist. Indeed, since $W_p^p(\mu_{(t)}, \mu_{(\tau)}) = |m(t) - m(\tau)| \times |x - x_0|^p$, all we can obtain is $1/p$ Hölder estimate:

$$\lim_{\tau \to t} \frac{W_p(\mu_{(t)}, \mu_{(\tau)})}{|t-\tau|^{1/p}} = \lim_{\tau \to t} \frac{|m(t) - m(\tau)|^{1/p}}{|t-\tau|^{1/p}}|x - x_0| = |\dot{m}|^{1/p}(t)|x - x_0| .$$

Now, replace (12) by

$$\mu_{(t)} = m(t)\delta_{x_0} + (1 - m(t))\delta_{x_1} + \bar{\mu} \tag{13}$$

(recall (2)) where $\bar{\mu} \in \mathcal{P}_+$ a stationary (independent of t) positive, strongly $d-$connected measure whose support contains x_0, x_1. Even though $\dot{\mu} = \dot{m}(\delta_{x_0} - \delta_{x_1})$ is the same for both (12) and (13)), we can find out that for μ given by (13)

$$\frac{W_p(\mu_{(t)}, \mu_{(\tau)})}{|t-\tau|^q} \leq C(\bar{\mu})|\dot{m}|$$

for $q = \min[1, 1/p + 1/d]$ (provided $1/p + 1/d \neq 1$), or the Log-Lipschitz estimate

$$\frac{W_p(\mu_{(t)}, \mu_{(\tau)})}{|t-\tau| \ln^{1/p}(1/|t-\tau|)} \leq C(\bar{\mu})$$

if $1/p + 1/d = 1$. In particular (13) is uniformly Lipschitz if $1/p + 1/d > 1$. If this is the case, it is absolutely continuous in W_p. Hence the continuity equation (11) is satisfied for some Borel vectorfield v [AGS].

To elaborate further, let us consider the case where $\mu_{(t)}$ is supported in an interval $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $[x_0, x_1] \subset J$:

$$\mu_{(t)} := \beta 1_J(dx) + m(t)\delta_{x_1} + (1 - m(t))\delta_{x_0}$$

where $\beta > 0$ is a constant. Then $\mu_{(\cdot)}$ is strongly $1-$connected (see Definition 1.2). Hence for any $p > 1$, $\mu_{(\cdot)}$ is Lipschitz in W_p. In particular, it satisfies (11). It can be verified that the transporting vector field is nothing but

$$v(x,t) = \beta^{-1}\dot{m}(t) \;\text{ if }\; x_0 < x < x_1, \;, \quad v(x_0,t) = v(x_1,t) = 0 \;; \;\; \forall t \in I$$

and v is arbitrary otherwise .

The case $q < 1$ corresponds, in this context, to a "teleportation": No vector field v exists for which an orbit $\mu_{(t)}$ is transported via the continuity equation (11). In particular, if the support of μ is disconnected (e.g. $\beta = 0$ above).

In the last part of the paper we discuss the case of disconnected support of $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_+$, corresponding to $d-$connected support where $d = \infty$. In that case $q = 1/p$. Under appropriate condition we show that there exists a *sharp* limit

$$\lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} \epsilon^{-1/p} W_p\left(\mu + \epsilon\nu_+, \mu + \epsilon\nu_-\right) = \lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} \epsilon^{-1/p} W_p\left(\mu + \epsilon\nu, \mu\right) := \|\nu\|_\mu^{1/p}$$

where $\|\nu\|_\mu$ is defined in terms of an optimal transport on a *finite, metric graph* whose nodes are the connected components of μ (20). This is the rational behind the title "optimal teleportation".

1.3 Detailed Description of Main Results

We start by posing some assumptions on a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_1$:

Definition 1.1. *μ is $d-$connected if* $\mathrm{supp}(\mu)$ *is arc-connected and there exists $K, \delta > 0$ such that for any $x \in \mathrm{supp}(\mu)$ and any $0 < r < \delta$*

$$\mu(B_r(x)) \geq K r^d \ . \tag{14}$$

Remark 1.2. *Condition (14) states, in fact, that μ is $d-$* Ahlfors regular *from below on its support. See e.g. [J] for more general definitions. Note also that if μ is $d-$connected then μ is d^*-connected for any $d^* \geq d$.*

For technical reasons we need a stronger notion of $d-$connectivity of a measure as follows:

Definition 1.2. *μ is strongly $d-$connected if there exist $L, K > 0$, $2 \leq N \in \mathbb{N}$ and a measure space $(D, d\beta)$ such that for any $x_0, x_1 \in \mathrm{supp}(\mu)$ there are $k \leq N$ points $y_1 = x_0, y_2, \dots y_k = x_1$ in $\mathrm{supp}(\mu)$ and $k-1$ measurable mappings $\Phi_j : J = [0,1] \times D \to \mathrm{supp}(\mu)$, $j = 1, \dots k-1$ such that*

i) $\Phi_j(\cdot, \beta) : [0,1] \to \Omega$ is $L-$Lipschitz on $[0,1]$ for any $\beta \in D$.

ii) Φ_j is injective on $(0,1) \times D$, $\Phi_j(0, \beta) = y_j$, $\Phi_j(1, \beta) = y_{j+1}$ for any $\beta \in D$.

iii) $\Phi_{j,\#}(\rho) \leq \mu$ where $\rho \in \mathcal{P}_+(J)$ given by the density $\rho(ds, d\beta) = K s^{d-1}(1-s)^{d-1} ds d\beta$.

Remark 1.3. *Any strong $d-$connected set is also $d-$connected. In fact,* $\mathrm{supp}(\mu)$ *is arc connected and $\Phi_1([0, r/L] \times D) \subset B_r(x_0)$ by (i,ii). By (iii), $\mu(B_r(x_0)) \geq K\beta(D) \int_0^{r/L} s^{d-1}(1-s)^{d-1} ds$, hence if, say, $r < L/2$ then $\mu(B_r(x_0)) \geq K\beta(D) r^d / (d L^d 2^d)$.*

See Figure 1.

Examples:

- μ is uniformly distributed in a convex set in m ($\leq k$) dimensional hyperplane in $\mathbb{R}^k$: μ is strongly $m-$connected ($N = 2$).

- μ is uniformly distributed on the wedge

$$\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^k \ ; \ 0 \leq x \leq 1, \ y \in \mathbb{R}^{k-1}, \ |y| \leq x^\beta\}$$

  where $k > 1$, $\beta \geq 0$. μ is strongly $\beta(k-1)+1$ connected if $\beta \geq 1$ and strongly k connected if $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ ($N = 2$).



Figure 1: Mapping of J to $supp(\mu)$ via Φ

- $\Omega = [0,3] \subset \mathbb{R}$ and μ has a density proportional to $x \mapsto x(x-1)^2(x-2)^2$. In that case μ is strongly 3−connected and $N = 3$.

1.3.1 Connected support

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose μ is strongly $d-$connected ($d \geq 1$) and $\nu = \nu_+ - \nu_-$ is a balanced measure such that supp$(\nu) \subset$ supp(μ). Then there exists C depending only on μ such that*

$$\sup_{\epsilon>0} \epsilon^{-q} W_p\left(\mu + \epsilon\nu_+, \mu + \epsilon\nu_-\right) < C\|\nu\|_{TV} \tag{15}$$

where $q = \min(1, 1/d + 1/p)$ provided $p \neq d/(d-1)$.

In the critical case $p = d/(d-1)$ (where $q = 1$)

$$\sup_{\epsilon>0} \frac{1}{\epsilon \ln^{1/p}(1/\epsilon + 1)} W_p\left(\mu + \epsilon\nu_+, \mu + \epsilon\nu_-\right) < C\|\nu\|_{TV} \ . \tag{16}$$

In particular there exists $C = C(\mu)$ for which

$$W_p(\mu + \nu_+, \mu + \nu_-) \leq C(\mu)\|\nu\|_{TV}^q \tag{17}$$

if $p \neq d/(d-1)$, while if $p = d/(d-1)$,

$$W_p(\mu + \nu_+, \mu + \nu_-) \leq C(\mu)\|\nu\|_{TV} \ln^{1/p}\left(\|\nu\|_{TV}^{-1} + 1\right) \tag{18}$$

holds for any balanced pair $\nu = \nu_+ - \nu_-$.

Remark 1.4. *We may now make a connection between (5, 6), Theorem 1.1 and the Sobolev embedding Theorem. Consider the Sobolev space*

$$\mathbb{W}^{1,p\prime}(\Omega) := \left\{\phi \in \mathbb{L}^{p\prime}(\Omega) \ ; \nabla\phi \in \mathbb{L}^{p\prime}\right\}$$

where $p > 1$, $p\prime := p/(p-1)$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. If $p\prime > d$ then $\mathbb{W}^{1,p\prime}(\Omega)$ is embedded in the space of bounded continuous functions $C(\Omega)$. Suppose μ is the Lebesgue measure on a convex set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ (so, in particular, $d-$connected). This implies that the $\mathbb{W}^{1,p'}$ closure of $\mathcal{B}_p(\mu)$ is embedded in $C(\Omega)$. Let $\nu = \delta_{x_0} - \delta_{x_1}$ where $x_0, x_1 \in$ supp(μ). Then the right hand side of (5) is finite. On the other hand, the case $p\prime > d$ corresponds to the case $q = 1$ so (15) is consistent with (5) in that case.

Recall that the case $p\prime = d$ corresponds to the critical Sobolev embedding *where $\mathbb{W}^{1,d}$ (or $\mathcal{B}_p(\mu)$) just fails to be embedded in the space of continuous functions. In that case $D_p(\mu) = \infty$ (see (7)). The bound of (18) suggests that a Log-Lipschitz estimate corresponds to a critical Sobolev embedding in the context of Wasserstein metric.*

1.3.2 Curves of probability measures

Let $\vec{\mu} := \{\mu_{(t)}\}$, $t \in I$ be a curve of probability measures

$$\mathbb{R} \supset I \ni t \mapsto \mu_{(t)} \in \mathcal{P}_1 \ .$$

Recall that $\vec{\mu} \in AC^r(I, \mathcal{P}_1; TV)$ for some $\infty \geq r \geq 1$ if $\exists m \in \mathbb{L}^r(I)$ such that

$$\|\mu_{(t)} - \mu_{(\tau)}\|_{TV} \leq \int_\tau^t m(s)ds$$

for any $t > \tau \in I$.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose $\vec{\mu} \in AC^r(I, \mathcal{P}_1; TV)$ for some $\infty \geq r > 1$. Assume also that the support of $\vec{\mu}$ is non-increasing, namely $\mathrm{supp}(\mu_{(t)}) \subseteq \mathrm{supp}(\mu_{(\tau)})$ for any $\tau < t \in I$, and $\mathrm{supp}(\mu_{(t)})$ is uniformly $d-$connected with respect to t (that is, N, K, L can be chosen independently of t in definition 1.2).*

Then, for any $p > 1$, $p/(p-1) \neq d$, μ is uniformly $q(r-1)/r$- Hölder ($q-$Hölder if $r = \infty$) in the Wasserstein metric W_p where $q = \min(1, 1/d + 1/p)$, namely

$$W_p(\mu_{(t)}, \mu_{(\tau)}) \leq C|t - \tau|^{q(r-1)/r}$$

where C is independent of $t \in I$.

If $r = \infty$ and $p/(p-1) = d$ then μ is uniformly log-Lipschitz, that is,

$$W_p(\mu_{(t)}, \mu_{(\tau)}) \leq C|t - \tau| \left[\ln^{1/p}\left(\frac{1}{|t-\tau|}\right) + 1\right]$$

for some C independent of $t, \tau \in I$.

Corollary 1.1. *Under the conditions of Theorem 1.2, if, in addition, $1 < p < d/(d-1)$ then there exists a Borel vector field $v \in \mathbb{L}^r\left(I, \mathbb{L}^p(\Omega; \mu_{(t)})\right)$ such that the continuity equation*

$$\partial_t \mu + \nabla_x \cdot (v\mu) = 0$$

is satisfied in the sense of distributions in $I \times \Omega$.

1.3.3 Optimal teleportation

In the case of disconnected support of μ we obtain the following result, where $\|\nu\|_\mu$ is given in Definition 1.3-(vii) below.

Assumption 1.1. .

1. *$\mu \in \mathcal{P}_1$ and supp(μ) is composed of a finite number ($m \geq 2$) of disjoint components $\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mu_j$ where $\text{supp}(\mu_i) \cap \text{supp}(\mu_j) = \emptyset$ for any $i \neq j$.*

2. *Each μ_i satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1.1.*

3. *$\nu = \nu_+ - \nu_-$ is a balanced pair, $\text{supp}(\nu_+) \cup \text{supp}(\nu_-) \subset \text{supp}(\mu)$.*

Definition 1.3. *$A_i := \text{supp}(\mu_i)$ are the connected components of supp(μ).*

i) $\bar{\nu}_j := \langle \nu, 1_{A_j} \rangle$. By Assumption 1.1-(3), $\sum_{j=1}^{m} \bar{\nu}_j = 0$.

ii) $V := \{1..., m\}$, $V_+ := \{j \in V; \bar{\nu}_j > 0\}$, $V_- := \{j \in V; \bar{\nu}_j < 0\}$.

iii) For $i, j \in V$, $|E|_{i,j} := dist^p(A_i, A_j) \equiv \min_{x \in A_i, y \in A_j} |x - y|^p$.

iv) $G := (V, E)$ a complete graph whose vertices V and the length of the edge $E_{i,j}$ connecting i to j is $|E|_{i,j}$.

v) $\bar{G} := (\bar{V}, \bar{E}) \subset G$ is the complete graph such that $\bar{V} = V_+ \cup V_- \subseteq V$.

vi) Let $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}$ is the set of all orbits in V connecting i to j, that is, $e_{i,j} \in \mathcal{E}_{i,j}$ if

$$e_{i,j} = \{k_1, \dots k_n\} \subset V$$

such that $k_1 = i$, $k_n = j$. The length of such an orbit is $|e_{i,j}| = n$ in that case.

Given an edge $\bar{E}_{i,j} \in \bar{E}$ connecting $i \in \bar{V}$ to $j \in \bar{V}$, $|\bar{E}|_{i,j}$ is the geodesic distance corresponding to (V, E). That is:

$$|\bar{E}|_{i,j} := \min_{e_{i,j} \in \mathcal{E}_{i,j}} \sum_{l=1}^{|e_{i,j}|-1} |E|_{k_l, k_{l+1}} \tag{19}$$

See Figure 2 for an illustration.

vii) Let now $\bar{\nu}_i > 0$ be the charge associated with the vertex $i \in V_+$, and $-\bar{\nu}_j > 0$ the charge associated with $j \in V_-$. Let $\|\nu\|_\mu$ be the optimal cost of transportation of $\sum_{i \in V_+} \bar{\nu}_i \delta_i$ to $\sum_{j \in V_-} (-\bar{\nu}_j) \delta_j$ subjected to the graph metric $d(i, j) = |\bar{E}|_{i,j}$. That is:

$$\|\nu\|_\mu := \min_{\Lambda \in \Lambda(\nu)} \sum_{i \in V_+} \sum_{j \in V_-} \lambda_{i,j} |\bar{E}|_{i,j} := \sum_{i \in V_+} \sum_{j \in V_-} \lambda^*_{i,j} |\bar{E}|_{i,j} \tag{20}$$



Figure 2: Transfer plan via a directed tree: Flow from ν_1^+ to ν_6^- via A_3, to ν_5^- via A_3, to ν_4^- via A_3 and A_2. Flow from ν_2^+ to ν_6^- via A_3, to ν_5^- via A_4, to ν_4^- directly. From ν_3^+ to ν_6^- directly, to ν_5^- directly, to ν_4^- via A_2.

where $\Lambda(\nu)$ is the set of non-negative $|V_+| \times |V_-|$ matrices $\{\lambda_{i,j}\}$ which satisfy:
$\sum_{j\in V_-} \lambda_{i,j} = \bar{\nu}_i \quad if\ i \in V_+$
$\sum_{i\in V_+} \lambda_{i,j} = -\bar{\nu}_j \quad if\ j \in V_- \ .$

Theorem 1.3. *If $\infty > p > 1$ and μ, ν satisfy Assumption 1.1 then*

$$\lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} \epsilon^{-1/p} W_p(\mu + \epsilon\nu_+, \mu + \epsilon\nu_-) = \|\nu\|_\mu^{1/p}$$

2 Proofs for the case of a connected support

In this section we introduce the proofs of Theorems 1.1- 1.2 and Corollary 1.1.

2.1 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose μ is strongly $d-$connected ($d \geq 1$) and $x_0, x_1 \in$ supp(μ). Then there exists $C = C(\mu)$ depending only on μ such that*

$$\sup_{\epsilon>0} \epsilon^{-q} W_p\left(\mu + \epsilon\delta_{x_0}, \mu + \epsilon\delta_{x_1}\right) < C(\mu) \tag{21}$$

where $q = \min(1, 1/d + 1/p)$ provided $p \neq d/(d-1)$.
In the critical case $p = d/(d-1)$ (where $q = 1$)

$$\sup_{\epsilon>0} \frac{1}{\epsilon \ln^{1/p}(1/\epsilon + 1)} W_p\left(\mu + \epsilon\delta_{x_0}, \mu + \epsilon\delta_{x_1}\right) < C(\mu) \ . \tag{22}$$

Lemma 2.1. *Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 1.1 are equivalent.*

Proof. Obviously Theorem 1.1 implies Proposition 2.1. To see the opposite direction recall (see, e.g. [R])

$$W_p^p(\mu_1, \mu_2) = \max_{(\phi,\psi)\in\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega)} \langle \mu_1, \phi\rangle - \langle \mu_2, \psi\rangle \tag{23}$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega) := \{(\phi, \psi) \in C(\Omega) \times C(\Omega);\ \phi(x) - \psi(y) \leq |x - y|^p \quad \forall (x, y) \in \Omega \times \Omega\} \tag{24}$$

Without limiting the generality we may assume $\|\nu\|_{TV} = 2$ (namely, $\langle \nu_+, 1\rangle = \langle \nu_-, 1\rangle = 1$). Let $(\bar{\phi}, \bar{\psi}) \in \mathcal{C}_p(\Omega)$ for which the maximum in (23) is obtained

where $\mu_i = \mu + \epsilon\nu_+$, $\mu_2 = \mu + \epsilon\nu_-$. Let x_0 be a maximizer of $\bar{\phi}$ and x_1 a minimizer of $\bar{\psi}$. Then

$$W_p^p(\mu + \epsilon\nu_+, \mu + \epsilon\nu_-) = \langle \mu + \epsilon\nu_+, \bar{\phi}\rangle - \langle \mu + \epsilon\nu_-, \bar{\psi}\rangle$$
$$\leq \langle \mu + \epsilon\delta_{x_0}, \bar{\phi}\rangle - \langle \mu + \epsilon\delta_{x_1}, \bar{\psi}\rangle \leq W_p^p(\mu + \epsilon\delta_{x_0}, \mu + \epsilon\delta_{x_1}) \leq C(\mu)\ . \quad (25)$$

□

The following result is very easy but useful. For completeness we introduce the proof:

Proposition 2.2. The Principle of monotone additivity*: Let* (μ_1, μ_2) *be a balanced pair,* $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_+$*. Then* $W_p(\mu_1, \mu_2) \geq W_p(\mu_1 + \lambda, \mu_2 + \lambda)$*.*

Proof. By (23, 24) there exists $(\phi, \psi) \in \mathcal{C}_p$ for which

$$W_p^p(\mu_1 + \lambda, \mu_2 + \lambda) = \langle \mu_1 + \lambda, \phi\rangle - \langle \mu_2 + \lambda, \psi\rangle$$
$$= \langle \mu_1, \phi\rangle - \langle \mu_2, \psi\rangle + \langle \lambda, \phi - \psi\rangle \leq \langle \mu_1, \phi\rangle - \langle \mu_2, \psi\rangle\ \leq W_p^p(\mu_1, \mu_2)\ . \quad (26)$$

The first inequality follows from $\phi(x) - \psi(x) \leq 0$ for any $x \in \Omega$ by (24). The third one from (23). □

2.2 Proof of Proposition 2.1

To illustrate the proof we start by stating some simplifying assumptions:

Ω is one dimensional, e.g $\Omega = [0, 1]$, $x_0 = 0, x_1 = 1$ and

$$\mu(ds) = \frac{\rho(s)ds}{\int_0^1 \rho(t)dt} \quad \text{where } \rho(s) = Ks^{d-1}(1-s)^{d-1}\ . \quad (27)$$

For $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_1[0, 1]$, let $M_i(s) := \mu_i[0, s]$ be the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of μ_i for $i = 1, 2$ respectively. Let $S^{(i)}$ be the generalized inverses of M_i. Then (cf. Theorem 2.18 in [V] for the case $p = 2$ and Remark 2.19 there for the general case)

$$W_p^p(\mu_1, \mu_2) = \int_0^1 |S^{(1)}(m) - S^{(2)}(m)|^p dm\ . \quad (28)$$

In our case M_1 is the CDF of $\mu + \epsilon\delta_0$ while M_2 the CDF of $\mu + \epsilon\delta_1$. Setting $M = M(s)$ the CDF of μ and $S = S(m)$ its generalized inverse, then $M_1(s) = M(s) + \epsilon$ on $(0, 1]$ and $M_2(s) = M(s)$ on $s \in [0, 1)$, $M_2(1) = 1 + \epsilon$. The corresponding inverses are

i) $S^{(1)}(m) = 0$ for $m \in [0, \epsilon]$, $S^{(1)}(m) = S(m - \epsilon)$ for $\epsilon \le m \le 1 + \epsilon$.

ii) $S^{(2)}(m) = S(m)$ for $m \in [0, 1]$ and $S^{(2)}(m) = 1$ for $m \in [1, 1 + \epsilon]$.

Then (28) implies $W_p^p(\mu + \epsilon\delta_0, \mu + \epsilon\delta_1) =$

$$\int_0^\epsilon |S(m)|^p dm + \int_\epsilon^1 |S(m) - S(m-\epsilon)|^p dm + \int_1^{1+\epsilon} |S(m-\epsilon) - 1|^p dm \ . \quad (29)$$

Since S is monotone non decreasing:

$$\int_0^\epsilon |S(m)|^p dm + \int_1^{1+\epsilon} |S(m-\epsilon) - 1|^p dm \le \epsilon \left[S^p(\epsilon) + |1 - S(1-\epsilon)|^p\right] \quad (30)$$

while

$$\int_\epsilon^1 |S(m) - S(m-\epsilon)|^p dm = \epsilon^p \int_\epsilon^{1-\epsilon} \left|\frac{dS}{dm}\right|^p dm \, (1 + o(1)) \ . \quad (31)$$

By the simplifying assumptions (27)

$$\kappa_1 s^d \le M(s) \le \kappa_2 s^d \quad , \quad \kappa_1 (1-s)^d \le 1 - M(s) \le \kappa_2 (1-s)^d$$

for some $0 < \kappa_1 < \kappa_2$ where $s \in [0, 1]$. Hence

$$\kappa_2^{-1/d} m^{1/d} \le S(m) \le \kappa_1^{-1/d} m^{1/d} \ , \ \kappa_2^{-1/d} (1-m)^{1/d} \le 1 - S(m) \le \kappa_1^{-1/d} (1-m)^{1/d}$$

for $m \in [0, 1]$. From this and $S'(m) := dS/dm = 1/\rho(S(m))$

$$S'(m) = \frac{1}{\rho(S(m))} \le \kappa \min\{m^{1/d-1}, (1-m)^{1/d-1}\}$$

for some $\kappa > 0$ and $m \in [0, 1]$. It follows from (28-31) that

i) If $p < d/(d-1)$ then $W_p^p(\mu + \epsilon\delta_0, \mu + \epsilon\delta_1) \le O(\epsilon^p)$.

ii) if $p = d/(d-1)$ then $W_p^p(\mu + \epsilon\delta_0, \mu + \epsilon\delta_1) \le O\left(\epsilon^p \ln(1/\epsilon + 1)\right)$.

iii) if $p > d/(d-1)$ then $W_p^p(\mu + \epsilon\delta_0, \mu + \epsilon\delta_1) \le O\left(\epsilon^{p/d+1}\right)$.

In the general case, we provide the estimate (i-iii) for $W_p^p(\mu + \epsilon\delta_{y_j}, \mu + \epsilon\delta_{y_{j+1}})$ for $j = 1, \dots k-1$ (see Definition 1.2). Indeed, since W_p is a metric we get by the triangle inequality

$$W_p^p(\mu + \epsilon\delta_{x_0}, \mu + \epsilon\delta_{x_1}) \le \left(\sum_{j=1}^k W_p(\mu + \epsilon\delta_{y_j}, \mu + \epsilon\delta_{y_{j+1}})\right)^p \ .$$

Consider J, Φ_j as in Definition 1.2. We my replace μ by the measure $\hat{\mu} := K\Phi_{j,\#}\rho$. Indeed, by assumption, $\hat{\mu} \leq \mu$ and the inequality

$$W_p(\mu + \epsilon\delta_{y_j}, \mu + \epsilon\delta_{y_{j+1}}) \leq W_p(\hat{\mu} + \epsilon\delta_{y_j}, \hat{\mu} + \epsilon\delta_{y_{j+1}}) \tag{32}$$

is evident by monotone additivity (Proposition 2.2).

Let (X_ϵ, σ) be a reference measure space such that $\int_{X_\epsilon} d\sigma = \epsilon + \int_\Omega d\hat{\mu}$. If $T^{(i)} : X_\epsilon \to \Omega$, $i = 1, 2$, is a pair of Borel mappings such that $T^{(1)}_\# \sigma = \hat{\mu} + \epsilon\delta_{y_j}$, $T^{(2)}_\# \sigma = \hat{\mu} + \epsilon\delta_{y_{j+1}}$ then

$$W_p^p(\hat{\mu} + \epsilon\delta_{y_j}, \hat{\mu} + \epsilon\delta_{y_{j+1}}) \leq \int_{X_\epsilon} \left| T^{(1)}(x) - T^{(2)}(x) \right|^p \sigma(dx) \ . \tag{33}$$

We now construct (X_ϵ, σ) as follows:

Let $M = M(s)$ be the CDF of ρ (c.f. (27)). Set $\bar{M} := M(1)$. Then

$$X_\epsilon := \left\{ (m, \beta) \in [0, \bar{M} + \epsilon] \times D \right\}$$

and σ is a multiple $dmd\beta$ on X_ϵ, normalized according to $\int_{X_\epsilon} d\sigma = \int_\Omega d\hat{\mu} + \epsilon$.

Let $S = S(m)$ the generalized inverse of $M = M(s)$, and extend it to X_ϵ by $S(m, \beta) = S(m)$. In analogy with one-dimensional case above, set

i) $S^{(1)}(m, \beta) = 0$ for $m \in [0, \epsilon]$, $S^{(1)}(m, \beta) = S(m - \epsilon, \beta)$ for $\epsilon \leq m \leq \bar{M} + \epsilon$.

ii) $S^{(2)}(m, \beta) = S(m, \beta)$ for $m \in [0, \bar{M}]$ and $S^{(2)}(m, \beta) = \bar{M}$ for $m \in [\bar{M}, \bar{M} + \epsilon]$.

By construction, $S^{(i)} : X_\epsilon \to J$ satisfy

$$S^{(1)}_\# \sigma = \rho ds + \epsilon\delta_{s=0} d\beta \ ; \quad S^{(2)}_\# \sigma = \rho ds + \epsilon\delta_{s=1} d\beta \ .$$

From Definition 1.2 it follows that $T^{(1,2)} := \Phi_j \circ S^{(1,2)}$ satisfy $T^{(1)}_\# \sigma = \tilde{\mu} + \epsilon\delta_{y_j}$, $T^{(2)}_\# \sigma = \tilde{\mu} + \epsilon\delta_{y_{j+1}}$. Then Definition 1.2-(i') yields

$$\int_{X_\epsilon} \left| T^{(1)}(m, \beta) - T^{(2)}(m, \beta) \right|^p dmd\beta \leq L^p \int_{X_\epsilon} \left| S^{(1)}(m, \beta) - S^{(2)}(m, \beta) \right|^p dmd\beta \ .$$

We now proceed as in the one-dimensional case to obtain the proof by (32, 33) via (29-31), in the general case.

2.3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Proposition 2.3. *Suppose $\mu + \nu \in \mathcal{P}_+$ where ν is decomposed into $\nu := \nu_+ - \nu_-$. Suppose further $\nu_- < \mu/2$. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, there exists $\bar{C} = \bar{C}(\mu)$ for which*

$$W_p(\mu + \nu, \mu) < \bar{C}\|\nu\|_{TV}^q \tag{34}$$

where $q = \min(1, 1/d + 1/p)$ provided $p \neq d/(d-1)$.

In the critical case $p = d/(d-1)$ (where $q = 1$)

$$W_p(\mu + \nu, \mu) < \bar{C}\|\nu\|_{TV} \ln\left(\|\nu\|_{TV}^{-1} + 1\right) \ . \tag{35}$$

For the proof of this proposition we need the following auxiliary lemma

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose μ is $d-$connected and $\nu_- \leq \mu/2$ is a non-negative measure. Then there exists $\tilde{\nu} \geq 0$ such that $(\nu_-, \tilde{\nu})$ is a balanced pair (i.e $|\nu| := \langle \tilde{\nu}, 1\rangle = \langle \nu_-, 1\rangle$), $\tilde{\nu} \leq \mu/2$ and a constant $\hat{C}(\mu)$ such that*

$$W_p(\mu - \nu_-, \mu - \tilde{\nu}) < \hat{C}(\mu)|\nu|^q$$

with $q = \min\{1, 1/p + 1/d\}$.

Proof. Let us divide the domain $\mathrm{supp}(\mu)$ into essentially disjoint closed cells $U_i \subset \Omega$ such that $\cup U_i \supset \mathrm{supp}(\mu)$, $U_i^0 \cap U_j^0 = \emptyset$ where $i \neq j$, here U^0 stands for the interior of U. We say that cell U_i is a neighbour of U_j iff $i \neq j$ and $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $\epsilon = \|\nu_-\|_{TV} := |\nu|$. We make this partition subject to the following conditions:

i) Each cell U_i have at most m neighbors (note that m is independent of ϵ).

ii) Each cell contains a ball of radius $r_\epsilon := (2m/K)^{1/d}\epsilon^{1/d}$ whose center is in $supp(\mu)$, and is contained in a concentric ball of radius $2r_\epsilon$. Here K is given by Definition 1.2.

Let ν_i be the restriction of ν_- to U_i, $\alpha_i = \langle \nu_-, 1_{U_i}\rangle$.
By assumption, $\sum_i \alpha_i = \epsilon$.

By $d-$connectedness (see Definition 1.1), $\langle \mu, 1_{U_i}\rangle \geq 2m\epsilon$ for any i. The W_p^p cost for shifting a mass α_i to any point in U_i from any point of its neighbour U_j is no larger that $\alpha_i(8r_\epsilon)^p$. Indeed, $8r_\epsilon$ is the maximal possible distance between any point in U_i to a point in U_j by construction. Hence

$$W_p^p\left(\frac{\alpha_i\mu_j}{\langle \mu, 1_{U_j}\rangle}, \nu_i\right) \leq \alpha_i(8r_\epsilon)^p = \alpha_i 8^p \left(\frac{2m}{K}\right)^{p/d} \epsilon^{p/d} \tag{36}$$

where μ_i is the restriction of μ to U_i. (Note that $(\frac{\alpha_i \mu_j}{\langle \mu, 1_{U_j} \rangle}, \nu_i)$ is a balanced pair).

Since $\langle \mu, 1_{U_i} \rangle \geq 2m\epsilon$ for any i

$$\frac{\alpha_i}{\langle \mu, 1_{U_i} \rangle} < \frac{1}{2m} \ . \tag{37}$$

Let

$$\tilde{\nu} := \sum_i \frac{\alpha_i \mu_{j(i)}}{\langle \mu, 1_{U_{j(i)}} \rangle}$$

where $j(i)$ is some arbitrary choice of a neighbour of i. Since there exist at most m neighbours to each i, then

$$\tilde{\nu} < \mu/2 \tag{38}$$

via (37). Since $\sum_i \alpha_i = \epsilon$, we obtain by summing over (36) and taking the $p-$ root:

$$W_p(\nu_-, \tilde{\nu}) \leq 8 \left(\frac{2m}{K} \right)^{1/d} \epsilon^q$$

from which we easily obtain $W_p(\mu - \nu_-, \mu - \tilde{\nu}) =$

$$W_p(\sigma + \tilde{\nu}, \sigma + \nu_-) \leq W_p(\tilde{\nu}, \nu_-) \leq 8 \left(\frac{2m}{K} \right)^{1/d} \epsilon^q$$

by Proposition 2.2, where $\sigma := \mu - \nu_- - \tilde{\nu} \geq \mu - \mu/2 - \mu/2 = 0$ (cf. (38)). Recalling $\epsilon = |\nu|$ we obtain the result. □

Proof of Proposition 2.3: Let $\nu = \nu_+ - \nu_-$ as in Theorem 1.1. Set $\bar{\mu} := \mu + \nu_+$. Then

$$W_p(\mu+\nu, \mu) = W_p(\bar{\mu}-\nu_-, \bar{\mu}-\nu_+) \leq W_p(\bar{\mu}-\nu_-, \bar{\mu}-\tilde{\nu}) + W_p(\bar{\mu}-\tilde{\nu}, \bar{\mu}-\nu_+) \tag{39}$$

where $\tilde{\nu}$ is as in Lemma 2.2 (in particular, $\tilde{\nu} < \bar{\mu}$). Since $\bar{\mu} \geq \mu$ we get by monotone additivity and Lemma 2.2

$$W_p(\bar{\mu} - \nu_-, \bar{\mu} - \tilde{\nu}) \leq W_p(\mu - \nu_-, \mu - \tilde{\nu}) \leq \hat{C}(\mu) \|\nu\|_{TV}^q \ . \tag{40}$$

Note that $\mu - \nu_- \geq 0$ since $\mu + \nu \geq 0$ and $\nu = \nu_+ - \nu_-$ is the decomposition of ν into positive/negative part. Setting $\tilde{\mu} = \mu - \tilde{\nu} := \bar{\mu} - \nu_+ - \tilde{\nu}$ we get

$$W_p(\bar{\mu} - \tilde{\nu}, \bar{\mu} - \nu_+) = W_p(\tilde{\mu} + \nu_+, \tilde{\mu} + \tilde{\nu}) \tag{41}$$

Since $\|\nu\|_{TV} = \|\tilde{\nu}\|_{TV}$ and $\tilde{\mu} \geq \mu/2$ we obtain from Theorem 1.1, (41) and Proposition 2.2

$$W_p(\bar{\mu}-\tilde{\nu}, \bar{\mu}-\nu_+) \leq C(\mu/2) \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \|\nu\|_{TV}^q \text{ if } p \neq \frac{d}{d-1} \\ \|\nu\|_{TV} \left(\ln(\|\nu\|_{TV}^{-1}+1\right) \text{ if } p = \frac{d}{d-1} \end{array} \right\} . \quad (42)$$

The proposition now follows from (39,40,42) where $\bar{C}(\mu) = \hat{C}(\mu) + C(\mu/2)$.

Proof. of Theorem 1.2:
Given $t > \tau \in V$, let $\nu = \mu_{(t)} - \mu_{(\tau)}$. Note that $\text{supp}(\nu) \subseteq \text{supp}(\mu_{(\tau)})$. Since $\vec{\mu} \in AC^r(I, TV)$

$$\|\nu\|_{TV} \leq \int_\tau^t m \leq \|m\|_r |t-\tau|^{1-1/r} . \quad (43)$$

The assumptions of Theorem 1.1 are satisfied so we obtain the result by Proposition 2.3, upon estimating $\|\nu\|_{TV}$ by (43). □

2.4 Proof of Corollary 1.1

Using (43) with the assumption of the Corollary, we get $q = 1$ so from Theorem 1.2

$$W_p(\mu_{(t)}, \mu_{(\tau)}) \leq C\|\mu_{(t)} - \mu_{(\tau)}\|_{TV} \leq C \int_\tau^t m$$

so $\vec{\mu} \in AC^r(I, W_p)$ as well. The existence of a vector field satisfying the conclusion of the Corollary follows from Theorem 8.3.1 in [AGS] (see also Theorem 5 in [L]).

3 Proof of Theorem 1.3

We first state the following

$$\liminf_{\epsilon \searrow 0} \epsilon^{-1/p} W_p(\mu + \epsilon\nu_+, \mu + \epsilon\nu_-) \geq \|\nu\|_\mu^{1/p} . \quad (44)$$

Recall the dual formulation (23, 24). In fact, it is enough to restrict to $(\phi, \psi) \in \mathcal{C}_p(\text{supp}(\mu)) \equiv \mathcal{C}_p(\cup A_i)$. In the special case $\psi(x) = \phi(x) := z_i$ is a constant over A_i we get

$$W_p^p(\mu + \epsilon\nu_+, \mu + \epsilon\nu_-) \geq \epsilon \sum_{i \in \bar{V}} z_i \bar{\nu}_i \quad (45)$$

provided $z_i - z_j \leq |x-y|^p$ for and $x \in A_i, y \in A_j$. In particular, if $z_i - z_j \leq |\bar{E}|_{i,j}$ (see definition 1.3-(iii, vi)). From (45) and Definition 1.3-(ii) we get

$$W_p^p(\mu + \epsilon\nu_+, \mu + \epsilon\nu_-) \geq \epsilon \sup_{\{z\}} \sum_{i \in \bar{V}} z_i \bar{\nu}_i \tag{46}$$

where the supremum is on all possible values of $\{z_1 \ \ldots, z_{\#\bar{V}}\}$ which satisfy $z_i - z_j \leq |\bar{E}|_{i,j}$ for any $i, j \in \bar{V}$. Since $|\bar{E}|$ is a metric on the graph $(\bar{V}, \bar{E})$ via Definition 1.3-(vi) we recall the dual formulation of the metric Monge problem, or the so called Kantorovich Rubinstein Theorem (Theorem 1.14 in [V] or [R1]) in discrete version:

$$\|\nu\|_\mu = \sup_{\{z\}} \sum_{i \in \bar{V}} z_i \bar{\nu}_i \ \ ; \ \ z_i - z_j \leq |\bar{E}|_{i,j} \tag{47}$$

(see also Definition 1.3-(vii)). Then (44) follows from (46-47).

To prove the opposite inequality we need some additional definitions:

Definition 3.1. .

1. *Denote $Z_i^j \in A_i$ to be the closest point in A_i to A_j. (see Definition 1.3-(iii)).*

2. *For any $l \in V$, denote E_l^+ the set if all outgoing edges from l, that is, $E \in E_l^+$ iff there exists an optimal orbit $\bar{e}_{i_1,i_n} = (E_{i_1,i_2}, E_{i_2,i_3}, \ldots E_{i_{n-1},i_n})$ realizing (19) in Definition 1.3-(vi). where $E = E_{i_p,i_{p+1}}$, $i_p = l$ for some $p \in \{1, \ldots n-1\}$.*

   Likewise, denote E_l^- the set if all incoming edges to l, that is $E = E_{i_p,i_{p+1}}$ where $i_{p+1} = l$.

3. *For any edge E in G*

$$\lambda_E^* := \sum_{\{i,j \ ; E \in \bar{e}_{i,j}\}} \lambda_{i,j}^* \ ,$$

   see (20) for $\lambda_{i,j}^*$. This is the total flux *traversing E due to the optimal transport plan.*

   Note that

$$\sum_{E \in E_l^+} \lambda_E^* - \sum_{E \in E_l^-} \lambda_E^* = \bar{\nu}_l \tag{48}$$

   for any $l \in V$. Recall (Definition 1.3 (i,ii)) that $\bar{\nu}_l > 0$ if $l \in V_+$, $\bar{\nu}_l < 0$ if $l \in V_-$ and $\bar{\nu}_l = 0$ if $l \in V - \bar{V}$.



Figure 3:

4. *For* $k \in V$

$$\hat{\nu}_k^+ := \sum_{E \equiv (k,i) \in E_k^+} \lambda_E^* \delta_{Z_k^i} \ . \tag{49}$$

Here δ_x *is the Dirac delta function at* x*. In particular,* $\hat{\nu}_k^+$ *is supported in* A_k *(see Definition 3.1 (1)), and*

$$< \hat{\nu}_k^+, 1 >= \sum_{E \in E_k^+} \lambda_E^* \ . \tag{50}$$

5. *For* $i, j \in V$*, let* $B_r(Z_i^j)$ *be the ball of radius* r *centered at* $Z_i^j \in A_i$*. Given* $\epsilon > 0$ *let* $r_i^{j,\epsilon} > 0$ *be the radius of the ball such that* $\mu\left(A_j \cap B_{r_i^{j,\epsilon}}(Z_i^j)\right) = \epsilon$*. See Figure 3.*

Let $\hat{\mu}_{i,j}^{\epsilon}$ *be the restriction of the measure* μ *to the set* $A_j \cap B_{r_i^{j,\epsilon}}(Z_i^j)$ *defined above.*

6. Let

$$\hat{\nu}_k^-(\epsilon) := \sum_{E\equiv(l,k)\in E_k^-} \hat{\mu}_{l,k}^{\epsilon\lambda_E^*} \ . \tag{51}$$

In particular, $\hat{\nu}_k^-$ is supported in A_k and

$$< \hat{\nu}_k^-(\epsilon), 1 >= \epsilon \sum_{E\in E_k^-} \lambda_E^* \ . \tag{52}$$

7. $\hat{\nu}_+ := \sum_{k\in V} \hat{\nu}_k^+ \quad ; \quad \hat{\nu}_-(\epsilon) := \sum_{k\in V} \hat{\nu}_k^-(\epsilon) \quad ; \quad \hat{\nu}(\epsilon) := \epsilon\hat{\nu}_+ - \hat{\nu}_-(\epsilon).$

Note that $\hat{\nu}(\epsilon)$ is balanced, i.e $< \hat{\nu}(\epsilon), 1 >= 0$. In fact, we obtain from (48, 50, 52) that for each $k \in V$

$$< \epsilon\hat{\nu}_k^+ - \hat{\nu}_k^-(\epsilon), 1 >= \epsilon\bar{\nu}_k \ , \tag{53}$$

and $\sum_{k\in V} \bar{\nu}_k = 0$ (Definition 1.3-(i)).

Using the above we find, from the principle of monotone additivity, metric property of W_p and the triangle inequality

$$W_p(\mu+\epsilon\nu_+, \mu+\epsilon\nu_-) \le W_p(\mu+\epsilon\nu, \mu) \le W_p(\mu+\epsilon\nu, \mu+\hat{\nu}(\epsilon)) + W_p(\mu, \mu+\hat{\nu}(\epsilon)) \ . \tag{54}$$

Let ν_k be the restriction of ν to A_k and $\hat{\nu}_k(\epsilon) = \epsilon\hat{\nu}_k^+ - \hat{\nu}_k^-(\epsilon)$. By (53) (recall $\bar{\nu}_k :=< \nu_k, 1 >$) we can use the definition of Wasserstein metric to obtain

$$W_p^p(\mu + \epsilon\nu, \mu + \hat{\nu}(\epsilon)) \le \sum_{k\in V} W_p^p(\mu_k + \epsilon\nu_k, \mu_k + \hat{\nu}_k(\epsilon)) \ .$$

Now Theorem 1.1 can applied to each of the components of this sum. By the assumption of the Theorem we obtain

$$W_p^p(\mu_k + \epsilon\nu_+^k, \mu_k + \epsilon\hat{\nu}_k^+) = O(\epsilon^{pq}) < o(\epsilon)$$

where $q > 1/p$ by its definition. Thus, the first term on the right of (54) is controlled by $o(\epsilon^{1/p})$.

To complete the proof we need to estimate the second term.

Proposition 3.1.

$$W_p^p(\mu, \mu + \hat{\nu}(\epsilon)) \le \epsilon\|\nu\|_\mu + o(\epsilon) \ .$$

For the proof we construct a transport plan π from μ to $\mu + \hat{\nu}(\epsilon)$. To illustrate this construction by a particular example see the directed tree in Figure 2. A detailed description of the plan is given below.

For any positive measure $\sigma \in P_+(\Omega)$ and $x \in \Omega$ define $\delta_x \otimes \sigma \in P_+(\Omega \times \Omega)$ by its action on $\phi \in C_b(\Omega \times \Omega)$:

$$< \phi, \delta_x \otimes \sigma >:= \int_\Omega \phi(x, y) d\sigma(y) \ .$$

Let

$$\mu_-^\epsilon := \mu - \hat{\nu}_-(\epsilon) \tag{55}$$

and $\pi_{\mu_-^\epsilon}$ be the diagonal lift of μ_-^ϵ to $P_+(\Omega \times \Omega)$, that is,

$$< \phi, \pi_{\mu_-^\epsilon} >:= \int_\Omega \phi(x, x) d\mu_-^\epsilon(x) \ .$$

Let now

$$\pi^\epsilon := \pi_{\mu_-^\epsilon} + \sum_{l \in V} \sum_{k \in V} \delta_{Z_l^k} \otimes \hat{\mu}_{l,k}^{\epsilon \lambda^*_{E(l,k)}}. \tag{56}$$

Note that some terms in the double sum above my be zero. This is the case if the edge $E(l, k)$ does not transverse an orbit of the optimal transport plan, i.e $\lambda^*_{E(l,k)} = 0$ (hence $\delta_{Z_l^k} \otimes \hat{\mu}_{l,k}^{\epsilon \lambda^*_{E(l,k)}} = 0$).

Next, observe that $\pi^\epsilon \in \Pi(\mu + \hat{\nu}(\epsilon), \mu)$. In fact, from (55) and (56), for any $\phi = 1(y)\psi(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} < \phi, \pi^\epsilon >= \int_\Omega \psi(x) d\mu_-^\epsilon(x) + \epsilon \sum_{l \in V} \sum_{k \in V} \psi(Z_k^l) \lambda^*_{E(k,l)} = \\ \int_\Omega \psi(x) d\mu(x) - \int_\Omega \psi(x) d\hat{\nu}_-(\epsilon)(x) + \epsilon \int_\Omega \psi(x) d\hat{\nu}_+(x) \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

where we used $\hat{\nu}_+ := \sum_{k \in V} \hat{\nu}_k^+$ and (49).

Setting now $\phi = 1(x)\psi(y)$

$$< \phi, \pi^\epsilon >= \int_\Omega \psi(y) d\mu_-^\epsilon(y) + \sum_{l \in V} \sum_{k \in V} \int_\Omega \psi(y) d\hat{\mu}_{l,k}^{\epsilon \lambda^*_{E(l,k)}}(y) = \int_\Omega \psi(y) d\mu(y) \tag{58}$$

where we used $\hat{\nu}_- := \sum_{k \in V} \hat{\nu}_k^-$ and (51).

It then follows from (56) that

$$W_p^p(\mu, \mu + \hat{\nu}(\epsilon)) \leq \int_\Omega \int_\Omega |x - y|^p d\pi_\epsilon = \sum_{l \in V} \sum_{E = E_{l,k}} \int_\Omega \int_\Omega |Z_l^k - y|^p \hat{\mu}_{l,k}^{\epsilon \lambda_E^*}(dy) \tag{59}$$

From Definition 3.1(1,6,7) together with (52) we obtain

$$\int_\Omega \int_\Omega |Z_l^k - y|^p \hat{\mu}_{l,k}^{\epsilon \lambda_E^*}(dy) = \epsilon \sum_{(i,j); E \in \bar{e}_{i,j}} \lambda_{i,j}^* |E|_{l,k} + o(\epsilon) \tag{60}$$

and (59, 60, 19) imply

$$W_p^p(\mu, \mu + \hat{\nu}(\epsilon)) \leq \epsilon \sum_{i,j \in \bar{V} \times \bar{V}} \lambda_{i,j}^* |\bar{E}|_{i,j} + o(\epsilon) = \epsilon \|\nu\|_\nu + o(\epsilon) \ .$$

□

References

[AGS] Ambrosio, L, Gigli, N and Savaŕe, G.:*Gradient Flows in metric spaces and in the space of probability measures*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Birkhauser, 2005

[AG1] Ambrosio, L and Gigli, N: *A User's Guide to Optimal Transport* in Modelling and Optimisation of Flows on Networks Cetraro, Italy 2009, B.Piccoli and M. Rascle Ed., Springer

[BB] Benamou, J.D, Brenier, Y.: *A computational fluid mechanics solution to the Monge-Kantorovich mass transfer problem*, Numer. Math., 84, 375-393, 2000

[K] Kantorovich, L. V. *On the translocation of masses.* Dokl. Akad. Nauk. USSR 37 (1942), 199, 201. English translation in J. Math. Sci. 133, no.4 (2006), 1381, 1382.

[KR] Kantorovich, L. V., and Rubinshtein, G. S. *On a space of totally additive functions.* Vestn. Leningrad. Univ. 13, 7 (1958), 52,59.

[J] Järvenpää, E., Järvenpää, M., Käenmäki, A., Rajala, T., Rogovin, S and Suomala, V.: *Packing dimension and Ahlfors regularity of porous sets in metric spaces*, Mathematische Zeitschrift September 2010, Volume 266, Issue 1, pp 83-105

[L] S. Lisini, *Characterization of absolutely continuous curves in Wasserstein spaces*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 28, (2007), 85-120

[M] McCann, R, J.:*A convexity principle for interacting gases*, Adv. Math. 128 (1997), no. 1, 153-179.

[O] Otto, F. *The geometry of dissipative evolution equations: the porous medium equation.* Comm. Partial Differential Equations 26, 1-2 (2001), 101,174.

[R] Rachev, S.T, Rüschendorf, L.R: *Mass Transportation Problems*, Vol 1, Springer, 1998

[T] Tanaka, H. *An inequality for a functional of probability distributions and its application to Kac's one-dimensional model of a Maxwellian gas.* Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete 27 (1973), 47, 52.

[Va] Vasershtein, L. N. *Markov processes over denumerable products of spaces describing large system of automata.* Problemy Peredatci Informacii 5, 3 (1969), 64, 72.

[V] Villani, C: *Topics in Optimal Transportation*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 58, AMS

[V1] Villani, C: *Optimal Transport; Old and new*, Springer, 2009

[Ve] Vershik, A. M. *The Kantorovich metric: the initial history and little-known applications.* Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI) 312, Teor. Predst. Din. Sist. Komb. i Algoritm. Metody. 11 (2004), 69,85, 311.

[W1] Wolansky, G:*Limit theorems for optimal mass transportation*, , Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 42 (2011), no. 3-4, 487-516